

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,675	08/08/2003		George Glover	1526.01	1674
21901	7590	12/09/2004		EXAMINER	
SMITH & I			KAUFMAN, JOSEPH A		
SUITE 220	15950 BAY VISTA DRIVE SUITE 220				PAPER NUMBER
CLEARWATER, FL 33760				3754	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/604,675	GLOVER, GEORGE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph A. Kaufman	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		••			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 7 and 9-12 is/are objected to.	r alastian raquiramant	:			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		ed in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	-	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
Notice of Draitsperson's Faterit Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/604,675

Art Unit: 3754

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The declaration was not signed and dated.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in paragraph 49, lines 5 and 6, it appears that bolts "37" and "39" should be "36a" and "36b".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madden as taught by Thompson.

Madden shows a cover 18 attached to the pre-existing frame of a dispenser; first side wall 26; front wall 28; second side wall opposite from first side wall 26 and substantially parallel to it as seen in Figure 2; angled wall between 26 and 28 seen in Figure 2; end wall 19; tab 25; slot as the open portion beneath edge of 28; and tab 25 is connected to 26 opposite end wall 19 as seen in Figure 2 as the tab projects and is

Art Unit: 3754

connected outwardly of wall 19. Madden lacks the structure protecting and surrounding a pulser and the relative dimensions of the walls. Thompson teaches protecting parts of the interior of a fuel dispenser from tampering as noted in column 1, lines 58-65. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a protective cover of Madden on an internal component of the dispenser, i.e. the pulser, as taught by Thompson in order to prevent tampering and/or destruction of the device. Note, the relative dimensions of the walls to each other would have been obvious dependent on the size and shape of the protected part(s).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7 and 9-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mattimoe et al., Robbins, Mahar and Nelson et al. show other fuel dispensers.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph A. Kaufman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

12/7/01

jak

December 7, 2004